

Optimal Execution: II. Trade Optimal Execution

René Carmona

Bendheim Center for Finance
Department of Operations Research & Financial Engineering
Princeton University

Purdue June 21, 2012

Optimal Execution Set-Up

Goal: sell $x_0 > 0$ shares by time $T > 0$

- ▶ $\underline{X} = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ execution strategy
- ▶ X_t position (nb of shares held) at time t . $X_0 = x_0$, $X_T = 0$
- ▶ Assume X_t absolutely continuous (differentiable)
- ▶ \tilde{P}_t **mid-price** (unaffected price), P_t **transaction price**, I_t **price impact**

$$P_t = \tilde{P}_t + I_t$$

e.g. Linear Impact A-C model:

$$I_t = \gamma[X_t - X_0] + \lambda \dot{X}_t$$

- ▶ **Objective:** Maximize *form of revenue* at time T

Revenue $\mathcal{R}(\underline{X})$ from the execution strategy \underline{X}

$$\mathcal{R}(\underline{X}) = \int_0^T (-\dot{X}_t) P_t dt$$

Specific Challenges

- ▶ **First generation:** Price impact models (e.g. Almgren - Chriss)
 - ▶ Risk Neutral framework (maximize $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{R}(\underline{X})$) versus utility criteria
 - ▶ More complex portfolios (including options)
 - ▶ Robustness and performance constraints (e.g. slippage or tracking market VWAP)
- ▶ **Second generation:** Simplified LOB models
 - ▶ Simple liquidation problem
 - ▶ performance constraints (e.g. slippage or tracking market VWAP) and using **both** market and limit orders

Optimal Execution Problem in A-C Model

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(\underline{X}) &= \int_0^T (-\dot{X}_t) P_t dt \\ &= -\int_0^T \dot{X}_t \tilde{P}_t dt - \int_0^T \dot{X}_t l_t dt \\ &= x_0 \tilde{P}_0 + \int_0^T X_t d\tilde{P}_t - \mathcal{C}(\underline{X})\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{C}(\underline{X}) = \int_0^T \dot{X}_t l_t dt$. Interpretation

- ▶ $x_0 \tilde{P}_0$ (initial) **face value** of the portfolio to liquidate
- ▶ $\int_0^T X_t d\tilde{P}_t$ **volatility risk** for selling according to \underline{X} instead of immediately!
- ▶ $\mathcal{C}(\underline{X})$ **execution costs** due to market impact

Special Case: the Linear A-C Model

$$\mathcal{R}(\underline{X}) = x_0 \tilde{P}_0 + \int_0^T X_t d\tilde{P}_t - \lambda \int_0^T \dot{X}_t^2 dt - \frac{\gamma}{2} x_0^2$$

Easy Case: Maximizing $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}(X)]$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}(X)] = x_0 P_0 - \frac{\gamma}{2} x_0^2 - \lambda \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \dot{X}_t^2 dt$$

Jensen's inequality & constraints $X_0 = x_0$ and $X_T = 0$ imply

$$\dot{X}_t^* = -\frac{x_0}{T}$$

trade at a constant rate **indpdt of volatility ! Bertsimas - Lo (1998)**

More Realistic Problem

Almgren - Chriss propose to maximize

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}(\underline{X})] - \alpha \text{var}[\mathcal{R}(X)]$$

(α risk aversion parameter – late trades carry volatility risk)

For **DETERMINISTIC** trading strategies \underline{X}

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}(\underline{X})] - \alpha \text{var}[\mathcal{R}(X)] = x_0 P_0 - \frac{\gamma}{2} x_0^2 - \int_0^T \left(\frac{\alpha \sigma^2}{2} X_t^2 + \lambda \dot{X}_t^2 \right) dt$$

maximized by (standard variational calculus with constraints)

$$\dot{X}_t^* = x_0 \frac{\sinh \kappa (T - t)}{\sinh \kappa T} \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \sigma^2}{2\lambda}}$$

For **RANDOM** (adapted) trading strategies \underline{X} , **more difficult** as
Mean-Variance not amenable to dynamic programming

Maximizing Expected Utility

Choose $U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ increasing concave and

$$\text{maximize} \quad \mathbb{E}[U(\mathcal{R}(\underline{X}_T))]$$

Stochastic control formulation over a state process $(X_t, R_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$.

$$v(t, x, r) = \sup_{\xi \in \Xi(t, x)} \mathbb{E}[u(R_T) | X_t = x, R_T = r]$$

value function, where $\Xi(t, x)$ is the set of **admissible controls**

$$\left\{ \underline{\xi} = (\xi_s)_{t \leq s \leq T}; \text{ progressively measurable, } \int_t^T \xi_s^2 ds < \infty, \int_t^T \xi_s ds = x \right\}$$

$$X_s = X_s^{\underline{\xi}} = x - \int_t^s \xi_u du, \quad \dot{X}_s = -\xi_s, \quad X_t = x$$

and (choosing $\tilde{P}_t = \sigma W_t$)

$$R_s = R_s^{\underline{\xi}} = R + \sigma \int_t^s X_u dW_u - \lambda \int_t^s \xi_u^2 du, \quad dR_s = \sigma X_s dW_s - \lambda \xi_s^2 ds, \quad R_t = r$$

Finite Fuel Problem

Non Standard Stochastic Control problem because of the constraints

$$\int_0^T \xi_s ds = x_0.$$

Still, one expects

- ▶ For any admissible $\underline{\xi}$, $[v(t, X_t^{\underline{\xi}}, R_t^{\underline{\xi}})]_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a super-martingale
- ▶ For some admissible $\underline{\xi}^*$, $[v(t, X_t^{\underline{\xi}^*}, R_t^{\underline{\xi}^*})]_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a **true** martingale

If v is smooth, and we set $V_t = v(t, X_t^{\underline{\xi}}, R_t^{\underline{\xi}})$, Itô's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t = & \left(\partial_t v(t, X_t, R_t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{rr}^2 v(t, X_t, R_t) \right. \\ & \left. - \lambda \xi_t^2 \partial_r v(t, X_t, R_t) - \xi_t \partial_x v(t, X_t, R_t) \right) dt \\ & + \sigma \partial_x v(t, X_t, R_t) dW_t \end{aligned}$$

Hamilton-Jabobi-Bellman Equation

One expects that v solves the **HJB** equation (nonlinear PDE)

$$\partial_t v + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 v - \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} [\xi^2 \lambda \partial_r v + \xi \partial_x v] = 0$$

in some sense, with the (non-standard) terminal condition

$$v(T, x, r) = \begin{cases} U(r) & \text{if } x = X_0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Solution for CARA Exponential Utility

For $u(x) = -e^{-\alpha x}$ and κ as before

$$v(t, x, r) = e^{-\alpha r + x_0^2 \alpha \lambda \kappa \coth \kappa (T-t)}$$

solves the HJB equation and the unique maximizer is given by the **DETERMINISTIC**

$$\xi_t^* = x_0 \kappa \frac{\cosh \kappa (T-t)}{\sinh \kappa T}$$

Schied-Schöneborn-Tehranchi (2010)

- ▶ Optimal solution **same** as in Mean - Variance case
- ▶ **Schied-Schöneborn-Tehranchi's** trick shows that optimal trading strategy is **generically** deterministic for **exponential utility**
- ▶ **Open problem** for general utility function
- ▶ Partial results in **infinite horizon** versions

Shortcomings

- ▶ Optimal strategies
 - ▶ are **DETERMINISTIC**
 - ▶ do not react to **price changes**
 - ▶ are **time inconsistent**
 - ▶ are **counter-intuitive** in some cases
- ▶ Computations require
 - ▶ solving **nonlinear PDEs**
 - ▶ with **singular** terminal conditions

Recent Developments

Gatheral - Schied (2011), Schied (2012)

- ▶ In the spirit of Almgren-Chriss mean-variance criterion, maximize

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{R}(\underline{X}) - \tilde{\lambda} \int_0^T X_t P_t dt \right]$$

- ▶ The solution happens to be **ROBUST**
 - ▶ \tilde{P}_t can be a semi-martingale, optimal solution does not change

Recent Developments

Almgren - Li (2012), Hedging a large option position

- ▶ $g(t, \tilde{P}_t)$ price at time t of the option (from Black-Scholes theory)
- ▶ Revenue

$$\mathcal{R}(\underline{X}) = g(T, \tilde{P}_T) + X_T \tilde{P}_T - \int_0^T \tilde{P}_t \dot{X}_t dt - \lambda \int_0^T \dot{X}_t^2 dt$$

- ▶ Using Itô's formula and the fact that g solves a PDE,

$$\mathcal{R}(\underline{X}) = R_0 + \int_0^T [X_t + \partial_x g(t, \tilde{P}_t)] dt - \lambda \int_0^T \dot{X}_t^2 dt \quad R_0 = x_0 \tilde{P}_0 + g(0, \tilde{P}_0)$$

- ▶ **Introduce** $Y_t = X_t + \partial_x g(t, \tilde{P}_t)$ for **hedging correction**

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{P}_t = \gamma \dot{X}_t dt + \sigma dW_t \\ dY_t = [1 + \gamma \partial_{xx}^2 g(t, \tilde{P}_t)] dt + \sigma \partial_{xx}^2 g(t, \tilde{P}_t) dW_t \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Minimize**

$$\mathbb{E} \left[G(\tilde{P}_T, Y_T) + \int_0^T \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2} Y_t^2 - \gamma \dot{X}_t Y_t + \lambda \dot{X}_t^2 \right) dt \right]$$

Explicit solution in some cases (e.g. $\partial_{xx}^2 g(t, x) = c$, G quadratic)

Transient Price Impact

Flexible price impact model

- ▶ **Resilience function** $G : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ measurable bounded
- ▶ Admissible $\underline{X} = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ cadlag, adapted, **bounded variation**
- ▶ Transaction price

$$P_t = \tilde{P}_t + \int_0^t G(t-s) dX_s$$

- ▶ Expected cost of strategy \underline{X} given by

$$-x_0 P_0 + \mathbb{E}[C(\underline{X})]$$

where

$$C(\underline{X}) = \int \int G(|t-s|) dX_s dX_t$$

Transient Price Impact: Some Results

- ▶ No **Price Manipulation** in the sense of **Huberman - Stanzi (2004)** if $G(\cdot)$ positive definite
- ▶ Optimal strategies (if any) are **deterministic**
- ▶ Existence of an optimal \underline{X}^* \Leftrightarrow solvability of a Fredholm equation
- ▶ Exponential Resilience $G(t) = e^{-\rho t}$

$$dX_t^* = -\frac{x_0}{\rho T + 2} \left(\delta_0(dt) + \rho dt + \delta_T(dt) \right)$$

- ▶ \underline{X}^* purely discrete measure on $[0, T]$ when $G(t) = (1 - \rho t)^+$ with $\rho > 0$
 - ▶ $dX_t^* = -\frac{x_0}{2} [\delta_0(dt) + \delta_T(dt)]$ if $\rho < 1/T$
 - ▶ $dX_t^* = -\frac{x_0}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \delta_{iT/n}(dt)$ if $\rho < n/T$ for some integer $n \geq 1$

Obizhaeva - Wang (2005), Gatheral - Schied (2011)

Optimal Execution in a LOB Model

- ▶ Unaffected price \tilde{P}_t (e.g. $\tilde{P}_t = P_0 + \sigma W_t$)
- ▶ Trader places only **market sell orders**
 - ▶ Placing buy orders is not optimal
- ▶ Bid side of LOB given by a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ s.t. $\int_0^\infty f(x) dx = \infty$. At any time t

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \text{bids available in the price range } [\tilde{P}_t + a, \tilde{P}_t + b]$$

- ▶ The **shape function** f does not depend upon t or \tilde{P}_t

Obizhaeva - Wang (2006), Alfonsi - Schied - Schulz (2011), Predoiu - Shaikhet - Shreve (2011)

Optimal Execution in a LOB Model (cont.)

- ▶ **Price Impact** process $\underline{D} = (D_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ adapted, cadlag

At time t a market order of size A moves the price from $\tilde{P}_t + D_{t-}$ to $\tilde{P}_t + D_t$ where

$$\int_{D_{t-}}^{D_t} f(x) dx = A$$

- ▶ **Volume Impact** $Q_t = F(D_t)$ where $F(x) = \int_0^x f(x') dx'$.
- ▶ **LOB Resilience:** Q_t and D_t decrease between trades, e.g.

$$dQ_t = -\rho Q_t dt, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0$$

- ▶ At time t , a sell of size A will bring

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_{t-}}^{D_t} (\tilde{P}_t + x) f(x) dx &= A \tilde{P}_t + \int_{D_{t-}}^{D_t} x dF(x) \\ &= A \tilde{P}_t + \int_{Q_{t-}}^{Q_t} \psi(x) dx = A \tilde{P}_t + \Psi(Q_t) - \Psi(Q_{t-}) \end{aligned}$$

if $\psi = F^{-1}$ and $\Psi(x) = \int_0^x \psi(x') dx'$.

Stochastic Control Formulation

Holding trajectories / Trading strategies

$$\Xi(t, x) = \left\{ (\Xi_s)_{t \leq s \leq T} : \text{c\`adl\`ag, adapted, bounded variation, } \Xi_t = x \right\}$$

$$\Xi_{ac}(t, x) = \left\{ (\Xi_s)_{t \leq s \leq T} : \Xi_s = x + \int_t^s \xi_r dr \text{ for } (\xi_s)_{t \leq s \leq T} \text{ bounded adapted} \right\}$$

$$\begin{cases} dX_t &= -d\Xi_t \\ dQ_t &= -d\Xi_t - \rho Q_t dt \\ dR_t &= -\rho Q_t \psi(Q_t) dt - \sigma \Xi_t dW_t \end{cases}$$

Value Function Approach

State space process $Z_t = (X_t, Q_t, R_t)$, value function

$$v(t, x, q, r) = v(t, z) = \sup_{\xi \in \Xi(t, x)} \mathbb{E}[U(R_T - \Psi(Q_T))]$$

First properties

- ▶ $U(r - \Psi(q + r)) \leq v(t, x, q, r) \leq U(r - \Psi(q))$
- ▶ $v(t, x, q, r) = U(r - \Psi(q + r))$ for $x = 0$ and $t = T$
- ▶ Functional approximation arguments imply

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x, q, r) &= \sup_{\xi \in \Xi(t, x)} \mathbb{E}[U(R_T - \Psi(Q_T))] \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in \Xi_{ac}(t, x)} \mathbb{E}[U(R_T - \Psi(Q_T))] \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in \Xi_d(t, x)} \mathbb{E}[U(R_T - \Psi(Q_T))] \end{aligned}$$

QVI Formulation

As before

- ▶ Assume v smooth and apply Itô's formula to $v(t, X_t, Q_t, R_t)$
- ▶ $v(t, X_t, Q_t, R_t)$ is a super-martingale for a typical $\underline{\xi}$ implies

$$\partial_t v + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x^2 \partial_{rr}^2 v - \rho q \psi(q) \partial_r v - \rho q \partial_q v \geq 0$$

- ▶ $\partial_x v - \partial_q v \geq 0$

QVI (Quasi Variational Inequality) instead of **HJB** nonlinear PDE

$$\min[\partial_t v + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x^2 \partial_{rr}^2 v - \rho q \psi(q) \partial_r v - \rho q \partial_q v, \partial_x v - \partial_q v] = 0$$

with terminal condition $v(T, x, q, r) = U(r - \Psi(x + q))$

Existence and Uniqueness of a *viscosity solution*

R.C. - H. Luo (2012)

Special Cases

Assuming a **flat** LOB $f(x) = c$ and $U(c) = x$

$$v(t, x, q, r) = r - \frac{q^2(1 - e^{-2\rho s})}{2c} - \frac{(x + qe^{-\rho s})^2}{c(2 + \rho(T - t - s))}$$

with $s = (T - t) \wedge \inf\{u \in [0, T]; (1 + \rho(T - t - u))qe^{-\rho u} \leq x\}$

Still with $f(x) = c$ but for a CARA utility $U(x) = -e^{-\alpha x}$

$$v(t, x, q, r) = -\exp\left[-\alpha r - \frac{\alpha}{2c}(\alpha c\sigma^2 x x^2 + q^2(1 - e^{-2\rho s}) + \varphi(t+s)(x + qe^{-\rho s})^2)\right]$$

where φ is the solution of the Riccati's equation

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) = \frac{\rho^2}{2\rho + \alpha c\sigma^2} \varphi(t)^2 + \frac{2\rho\alpha c\sigma^2}{2\rho + \alpha c\sigma^2} \varphi(t) - \frac{2\rho\alpha c\sigma^2}{2\rho + \alpha c\sigma^2}, \quad \varphi(T) = 1$$

and

$s = (T - t) \wedge \inf\{u \in [0, T]; (\alpha c\sigma^2 + \rho\varphi(t + u))x \geq \rho(2 - \varphi(t + u))qe^{-\rho u}\}$